arXiv:1412.1598v1 [math.AC] 4 Dec 2014

A generalization of Nakai's theorem on locally finite iterative higher derivations

Shigeru Kuroda

Abstract

Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic. Nakai (1978) proved a structure theorem for k-domains admitting a nontrivial locally finite iterative higher derivation when k is algebraically closed. In this paper, we generalize Nakai's theorem to cover the case where k is not algebraically closed. As a consequence, we obtain a cancellation theorem of the following form: Let A and A' be finitely generated k-domains with $A[x] \simeq_k A'[x]$. If A and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A$ are UFDs and $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A = 2$, then we have $A \simeq_k A'$. This generalizes the cancellation theorem of Crachiola (2009).

1 Introduction

Let A be a commutative ring with identity, and $A[x]$ the polynomial ring in one variable over A. A homomorphism $\sigma : A \to A[x]$ of rings is called an *exponential map* on A if the following conditions hold for each $a \in A$, where $a_0, \ldots, a_m \in A$ are such that $\sigma(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$, and y is a new variable:

(E1) $a_0 = a$. (E2) $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sigma(a_i) y^i = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i (x+y)^i$ in $R[x, y]$.

For each exponential map σ on A, a collection $(\delta_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of endomorphisms of the additive group A is defined by $\sigma(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \delta_i(a) x^i$ for each $a \in A$. The so obtained $(\delta_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is called a *locally finite iterative higher derivation* on A. The notions of exponential maps and locally finite iterative higher derivations are of great importance in Affine Algebraic Geometry, especially in the study of the Cancellation Problem. Since both notions are equivalent, we consider exponential maps.

For each $a \in A$, we define $\deg_\sigma(a)$ and $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(a)$ to be the degree and leading coefficient of $\sigma(a)$ as a polynomial in x over A, respectively. By (E1), the *ring*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13N15; Secondary 13A50, 14R10.

Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 24740022, The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

$A^\sigma := \{a \in A \mid \sigma(a) = a\}$ *of* σ*-invariants* is equal to $\sigma^{-1}(A)$. Assume that σ is *nontrivial*, i.e., $A^\sigma \neq A$. Then, we have $\deg_\sigma(a) \geq 1$ for each $a \in A \setminus A^\sigma$. We call $s \in A$ a *local slice* of σ if $\deg_\sigma(s)$ is equal to the minimum among $\deg_\sigma(a)$ for $a \in A \setminus A^\sigma$. A local slice s of σ is called a *slice* of σ if $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) = 1$. There always exists a local slice, but a slice does not exist in general. It is known that, if s is a slice of σ, then A is the polynomial ring in s over A^σ (cf. Theorem 4.1). Even if σ has no slice, A can be a polynomial ring in one variable over A^σ in some special cases. For example, let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic. Then, this is the case if A is the polynomial ring in two variables over k (cf. [8] when $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$, [6] when k is algebraically closed, and [5] for the general case).

Nakai [7, Thm. 1] proved the following theorem. Here, for a subring R of A, we say that σ is an exponential map *over* R if R is contained in A^σ.

Theorem 1.1 (Nakai)**.** *Let A be a k-domain, and σ a nontrivial exponential map on A over k. Assume that k is algebraically closed, A^σ is a finitely generated PID over k, and every prime element of A^σ is a prime element of A. Then, A is the polynomial ring in one variable over A^σ.*

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.1, and derive some useful consequences. One of our results implies the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be any field, and A and A' finitely generated k-domains with $A[x] \simeq_k A'[x]$. If A and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A$ are UFDs and* $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A = 2$*, then we have $A \simeq_k A'$. Here, $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of k.*

This theorem is a generalization of Crachiola [2, Cor. 3.2] which says that $A[x] \simeq_k A'[x]$ implies $A \simeq_k A'$ if A and A' are finitely generated UFDs over an algebraically closed field k with $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A = \mathrm{trans.deg}_k A' = 2$. One benefit of this generalization is that Theorem 1.2 covers the case where A is the polynomial ring in two variables over an arbitrary field k.

Thanks are due to Prof. Hideo Kojima for informing him of Nakai's paper.

2 Main results

Comparing the coefficients of y^m, we have $\sigma(a_m) = a_m$ in (E2). Hence, $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(a)$ belongs to A^σ for each $a \in A$. If σ is a nontrivial exponential map, then the *plinth ideal*

$$\mathrm{pl}(\sigma) := \{\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) \mid s \in A \text{ is a local slice of } \sigma\} \cup \{0\}$$

is an ideal of A^σ. Actually, if $s, s' \in A$ are local slices with $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) + \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s') \neq 0$, and $a \in A^\sigma$ is such that $a\,\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) \neq 0$, then $s + s'$ and as are local slices with

$\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s+s') = \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) + \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s')$ and $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(as) = a\,\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$. The notion of plinth ideal already appeared in Nakai [7], although not called by this name. A local slice s of σ is said to be *minimal* if there does not exist $a \in \mathrm{pl}(\sigma)$ such that $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)A^\sigma \subsetneqq aA^\sigma$. If $s \in A$ satisfies $\mathrm{pl}(\sigma) = \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)A^\sigma$, then s is a minimal local slice of σ.

Now, let k be any field, A a commutative k-algebra, and σ a nontrivial exponential map on A over k. Set $\bar{A} := \bar{k} \otimes_k A$ and $\bar{\sigma} := \mathrm{id}_{\bar{k}} \otimes \sigma$, where $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of k. Then, $\bar{\sigma}$ is an exponential map on $\bar{A}$ over $\bar{k}$ with $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{k} \otimes_k A^\sigma$. In this notation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $s \in A$ be a minimal local slice of $\bar{\sigma}$. Assume that $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$ is a nonzero divisor of $\bar{A}$, and is written as $p_1 \cdots p_l$ with $l \geq 0$, where $p_1, \ldots, p_l \in \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ are such that $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}/p_i\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{k}$ and $\bar{A}/p_i\bar{A}$ is a domain for $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Then, A is the polynomial ring in s over A^σ.*

Observe that every local slice of $\bar{\sigma}$ is written as a $\bar{k}$-linear combination of local slices of σ and elements of A^σ. Hence, we get $\mathrm{pl}(\bar{\sigma}) = \bar{k} \otimes_k \mathrm{pl}(\sigma)$. Thus, if $s \in A$ satisfies $\mathrm{pl}(\sigma) = \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)A^\sigma$, then we have $\mathrm{pl}(\bar{\sigma}) = \bar{k} \otimes_k \mathrm{pl}(\sigma) = \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$, and so s is a minimal local slice of $\bar{\sigma}$. Therefore, if A admits a nontrivial exponential map σ over k with the following three conditions, then A is a polynomial ring in one variable over A^σ by Theorem 2.1:

(N1) $\mathrm{pl}(\sigma)$ is a principal ideal of A^σ generated by a nonzero divisor of $\bar{A}$.
(N2) $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a PID with $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = 1$.
(N3) $\bar{A}/p\bar{A}$ is a domain for every prime element p of $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$.

Since a finitely generated PID over a field k has transcendence degree one over k, we see that the assumption of Theorem 1.1 implies (N1), (N2) and (N3). Therefore, we obtain Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 2.1.

Next, assume that A is a domain. It is well known that $A^\sigma = \sigma^{-1}(A)$ is *factorially closed* in A, i.e., $ab \in A^\sigma$ implies $a, b \in A^\sigma$ for each $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$, since A is factorially closed in $A[x]$, and σ is injective by (E1). This implies that $(A^\sigma)^* = A^*$, and every irreducible element of A^σ is an irreducible element of A. Note that, if $p \in A^\sigma$ is a prime element of A, then p is a prime element of A^σ, since $pA^\sigma = pA \cap A^\sigma$ is a prime ideal. Hence, if A is a UFD, then A^σ is also a UFD, and every prime element of A^σ is a prime element of A. We also note that, if A contains a field k, then every exponential map σ on A is an exponential map over k, since $k \setminus \{0\} \subset A^* \subset A^\sigma$.

The following corollary is also a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be a UFD over a field k, and σ a nontrivial exponential map on A. If $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A = 2$ and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A$ is a UFD, then A is a polynomial ring in one variable over A^σ.*

To see this, we check that the assumption of Corollary 2.2 implies (N1), (N2) and (N3). Since A and $\bar{A}$ are UFDs, we know that A^σ and $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ are UFDs, and (N3) is fulfilled. Recall that a UFD is a PID if every nonzero principal prime ideal is maximal. Since $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A = 2$ by assumption, we have $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A^\sigma = \mathrm{trans.deg}_k \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = 1$ (cf. Corollary 4.4), and so A^σ and $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ are PIDs. Therefore, we get (N1) and (N2).

Crachiola [2, Theorem 3.1] showed Corollary 2.2 when k is algebraically closed. He derived from this result the cancellation theorem [2, Cor. 3.2] mentioned in Section 1 by making use of Crachiola–Makar-Limanov [3, Thm. 3.1] and Abhyankar-Eakin-Heinzer [1, Thm. 3.3]. His argument in fact proved the following statement for an arbitrary field k (see the proof of [2, Cor. 3.2]).

Lemma 2.3. *For $i = 1, 2$, let A_i be a finitely generated k-domain with $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A_i = 2$ having the following property: If A_i admits a nontrivial exponential map σ over k, then A_i is a polynomial ring in one variable over A_i^σ. Then, it holds that $A_1[x] \simeq_k A_2[x]$ implies $A_1 \simeq_k A_2$.*

Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, $A'[x]$ and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A'[x]$ are UFDs, and $\mathrm{trans.deg}_k A' = 2$. Since A' and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A'$ are factorially closed in $A'[x]$ and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A'[x]$, respectively, it follows that A' and $\bar{k} \otimes_k A'$ are also UFDs. Hence, using Lemma 2.3, we can derive Theorem 1.2 from Corollary 2.2.

Let $A = R[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring in two variables over a domain R. Corollary 2.2 also implies the result that, if R is a field, and σ is a nontrivial exponential map on A, then A is a polynomial ring in one variable over A^σ (cf. §1). The following theorem is a consequence of this result. We call $f \in R[x, y]$ a *coordinate* of $R[x, y]$ if there exists $g \in R[x, y]$ such that $R[x, y] = R[f, g]$. A domain R is called an *HCF-ring* if, for any $a, b \in R$, there exists $c \in R$ such that $aR \cap bR = cR$. For example, UFDs are HCF-rings.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be an HCF-ring, K the field of fractions of R, and σ a nontrivial exponential map on $R[x, y]$ over R. Then, there exists $f \in R[x, y]$ such that f is a coordinate of $K[x, y]$ and $R[x, y]^\sigma = R[f]$.*

When R contains $\mathbf{Q}$, this result is found in Freudenburg [4, Thms. 4.11 and 4.13]. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is similar: $R[x, y]^\sigma$ is factorially closed in $R[x, y]$, and is of transcendence degree one over R (cf. Corollary 4.4). Since R is an HCF-ring by assumption, this implies that $R[x, y]^\sigma = R[f]$ for some $f \in R[x, y]$ by Abhyankar-Eakin-Heinzer [1, Prop. 4.8]. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the extension of σ to $K[x, y]$. Then, we have $K[x, y]^{\tilde{\sigma}} = K[f]$, and $K[x, y] = K[x, y]^{\tilde{\sigma}}[g] = K[f, g]$ for some $g \in K[x, y]$ by the result mentioned above.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

First, we remark that, if s is a minimal local slice with $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$ a nonzero divisor of A^σ, then the image of s in A/qA is not contained in the image of A^σ for any $q \in A^\sigma \setminus A^*$. In fact, if $s - b = qs'$ holds for some $b \in A^\sigma$ and $s' \in A$, then we have $\sigma(s) - b = q\sigma(s')$, and so $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) = qc$ for some $c \in A$. Since $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$ is a nonzero divisor of A^σ, it follows that so is q. Since $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s')$ belongs to A^σ, we get $\deg_\sigma(s) = \deg_\sigma(s')$ and $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) = q\,\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s')$, contradicting the minimality of s.

Now, let us prove Theorem 2.1. By assumption, s is a minimal local slice of $\bar{\sigma}$, and $a := \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s) = p_1 \cdots p_l$ is a nonzero divisor of $\bar{A}$. Hence, $\bar{A}[a^{-1}]$ is the polynomial ring in s over $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[a^{-1}]$ (cf. Corollary 4.4). Since A^σ is contained in $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[a^{-1}]$, it suffices to verify $A = A^\sigma[s]$. Since $A \supset A^\sigma[s]$, we prove that $\bar{k} \otimes_k A = \bar{k} \otimes_k A^\sigma[s]$, that is, $\bar{A} = \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[s]$. This is clear if $a = 1$. So assume that $l \geq 1$. We remark that, if $c \in \bar{A}$ satisfies $p_1 \cdots p_i c = d$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $d \in \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$, then c belongs to $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$. In fact, since $p_1, \ldots, p_i$ are elements of $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ by assumption, we have $p_1 \cdots p_i \bar{\sigma}(c) = d$, and so $\bar{\sigma}(c) = (p_1 \cdots p_i)^{-1} d = c$ in $\bar{A}[a^{-1}]$. Similarly, $p_i \bar{A} \cap \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = p_i \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ holds for each i. Now, take any $b \in \bar{A}$, and write $b = a^{-n} \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i s^i$, where $n \geq 0$ and $b_i \in \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ for each i. We may assume that n is minimal among such expressions. To conclude $b \in \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[s]$, we show that $n = 0$ by contradiction. Suppose that $n \geq 1$. Then, $\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i s^i = a^n b$ belongs to $a\bar{A}$. Let $1 \leq u \leq l + 1$ be the maximal number satisfying $\{b_i \mid i \geq 0\} \subset p_1 \cdots p_{u-1} \bar{A}$. Then, by the minimality of n, we have $1 \leq u \leq l$. Set $c_i := (p_1 \cdots p_{u-1})^{-1} b_i \in \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ for each i. Then, $\sum_{i \geq 0} c_i s^i$ belongs to $p_u \bar{A}$, but c_{i_0} does not belong to $p_u \bar{A}$ for some i_0 by the maximality of u. By assumption, $\bar{A}/p_u\bar{A}$ is a domain. Moreover, the image of $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ in $\bar{A}/p_u\bar{A}$ is equal to $\bar{k}$, since $p_u\bar{A} \cap \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = p_u \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$, and $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}/p_u\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{k}$ by assumption. Hence, the image of s in $\bar{A}/p_u\bar{A}$ is algebraic over $\bar{k}$, and thus belongs to the image of $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ in $\bar{A}/p_u\bar{A}$. Since $\mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$ is a nonzero divisor of $\bar{A}$, this contradicts the minimality of s by the first remark. Therefore, b belongs to $\bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[s]$, proving $\bar{A} = \bar{A}^{\bar{\sigma}}[s]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

4 Appendix

Let A be any commutative ring, and σ a nontrivial exponential map on A. In this appendix, we prove the following theorem for the lack of a suitable reference (cf. e.g. [3, Lem. 2.2] when A is a domain).

Theorem 4.1. *If σ has a slice s, then A is the polynomial ring in s over A^σ.*

First, note that (E1) and (E2) imply the following statements.

Lemma 4.2. (i) *For each* $0 \leq i \leq m$, *we have* $\deg_\sigma(a_i) \leq m - i$.
(ii) *Assume that* $p := \mathrm{char}(A)$ *is a prime number, and write* $m = lp^e$, *where* $e \geq 0$ *and* $l \geq 1$ *with* $p \nmid l$. *If* $a_m \neq 0$, *then we have* $\deg_\sigma(a_{(l-1)p^e}) = p^e$.
(iii) *If* $\sigma(a_i) = a_i$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq m$, *then* $f(x) := \sigma(a) - a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i$ *is* additive, *i.e., satisfies* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$.

Proof. Considering total degrees, (i) is clear from (E2). In the case (ii), we have $l \in A^*$ and

$$(x+y)^m = (x+y)^{lp^e} = (x^{p^e} + y^{p^e})^l = y^{lp^e} + lx^{p^e} y^{(l-1)p^e} + \cdots + x^{lp^e}.$$

By (E2), this implies $\sigma(a_{(l-1)p^e}) = la_m x^{p^e} + (\text{terms of lower degree in } x)$ with $la_m \neq 0$. In the case (iii), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \sigma(a_i) y^i = \sigma(a) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i y^i = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i y^i = a_0 + f(x) + f(y),$$

since $a_0 = a$ by (E1). Hence, we know by (E2) that $f(x)$ is additive. □

For each integer $n \geq 2$, let $d(n)$ be the greatest common divisor of the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{i}$ for $1 \leq i < n$. If $n = p^d$ for some prime number p and $d \geq 1$, then we have $d(n) = p$, since $p^2 \nmid \binom{p^d}{p^{d-1}}$. Otherwise, we have $d(n) = 1$. Hence, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 4.3. *For an integer* $n \geq 2$ *and* $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, *we have* $a(x+y)^n = a(x^n + y^n)$ *if and only if there exist a prime number* p *and* $d \geq 1$ *such that* $n = p^d$ *and* $\{l \in \mathbf{Z} \mid la = 0\} = p\mathbf{Z}$.

Let s be a local slice of σ. Then, by the minimality of $n := \deg_\sigma(s)$, we see from Lemma 4.2 (i) that the coefficient of x^i in $\sigma(s)$ belongs to A^σ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, $\sigma(s) - s$ is additive by Lemma 4.2 (iii). If furthermore s is a slice of σ, then this implies either $n = 1$, or $p := \mathrm{char}(A)$ is a prime number and $n = p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$ by Lemma 4.3 with $a = 1$.

Now, let us prove Theorem 4.1. First, we show that each $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ belongs to $A^\sigma[s]$ by induction on $m := \deg_\sigma(a)$. If $m = 0$, then a belongs to A^σ. Assume that $m > 0$. We show that $n := \deg_\sigma(s)$ divides m. We may assume that $n \geq 2$. Then, $p := \mathrm{char}(A)$ is a prime number, and $n = p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$ as mentioned. Write $m = lp^e$ with $p \nmid l$ and $e \geq 0$. Then, $\deg_\sigma(b) = p^e$ holds for some $b \in A$ by Lemma 4.2 (ii). By the minimality of n, it follows that $n = p^d$ divides p^e, and hence divides m. Now, set $a' := \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(a)$ and $c := a - a's^{m/n}$. Then, the degree $\deg_\sigma(c)$ of $\sigma(c) = \sigma(a) - a'\sigma(s)^{m/n}$

is less than m. Hence, c belongs to $A^\sigma[s]$ by induction assumption. Thus, a belongs to $A^\sigma[s]$, since so does $a's^{m/n}$. Therefore, we have $A = A^\sigma[s]$. Next, suppose that $A^\sigma[s]$ is not the polynomial ring in s over A^σ. Then, there exist $m \geq 1$ and $a_0, \ldots, a_m \in A^\sigma$ with $a_m \neq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i s^i = 0$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i \sigma(s)^i = 0$, and $\sigma(s)$ is a monic polynomial of positive degree, we are led to a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4.1, since σ extends to a nontrivial exponential map $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $A[a^{-1}]$ with $A[a^{-1}]^{\tilde{\sigma}} = A^\sigma[a^{-1}]$ which has a slice $a^{-1}s$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let s be a local slice of σ such that $a := \mathrm{lc}_\sigma(s)$ is a nonzero divisor of A. Then, $A[a^{-1}]$ is the polynomial ring in s over $A^\sigma[a^{-1}]$. Hence, we have* $\mathrm{trans.deg}_{A^\sigma} A = 1$ *if A is a domain.*

References

[1] S. S. Abhyankar, W. Heinzer and P. Eakin, On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring, J. Algebra **23** (1972), 310–342.

[2] A. J. Crachiola, Cancellation for two-dimensional unique factorization domains, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 1735–1738.

[3] A. J. Crachiola and L. G. Makar-Limanov, An algebraic proof of a cancellation theorem for surfaces, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 3113–3119.

[4] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 136, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[5] H. Kojima, Locally finite iterative higher derivations on $k[x, y]$, to appear in Colloq. Math.

[6] M. Miyanishi, G_a-action of the affine plane, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971), 97–100.

[7] Y. Nakai, On locally finite iterative higher derivations, Osaka J. Math. **15** (1978), 655–662.

[8] R. Rentschler, Opérations du groupe additif sur le plan affine, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **267** (1968), 384–387.

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
kuroda@tmu.ac.jp